



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/058,477	04/10/98	NEWMAN	F

ROBERT J HARTER  
4233 CLIFFSIDE DR  
LA CROSSE WI 54601

PM92/0927

EXAMINER
WALKER, Z

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3672	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

*Supplemental*  
**Office Action Summary**

Application No.  
09/058,477

Applicant(s)  
Newman

Examiner  
Zakiya Walker

Group Art Unit  
3672



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 15, 1999

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is ~~set~~<sup>reset</sup> to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

**Disposition of Claims**

☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, and 4-6 is/are rejected.

☒ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.

☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been  
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

**Attachment(s)**

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3672

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 6, lines 19 and 20 "segments 62" and "pipe 62" are used to describe the same element. Consistent terminology is required throughout the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following changes were made by informal examiner's amendment:

Page 5, line 12 "Engine 32" has been changed to --Engine 26--.

Page 5, line 17 "tongs 52" has been changed to --tongs 66--.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3672

5. Claim 4 recites “a third transducer,” “a third signal,” and “a third plurality of digital values” when the parent claim 1 claims a “first” transducer, signal, and values. The claim should depend from claim 2 or be rewritten to show a proper dependency from claim 1.

6. Claim 5 recites “a fourth signal” and “a fourth plurality of digital values” when the parent claim 1 claims a “first” signal and values. The claim should depend from another claim or be rewritten to show a proper dependency from claim 1.

7. Claim 6 recites “a fifth plurality of digital values” when the parent claim 1 claims a “first” plurality of digital values. The claim should depend from another claim or be rewritten to show a proper dependency from claim 1.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geppert in view of Shaginian et al.

Geppert discloses apparatus and method for aiding in the operation of cranes that includes a truck frame 14, an engine and transmissions (not shown), a hydraulic pump 440, a hoist 34, a block 40, first and second hydraulic cylinders 26, 32, first transducer 50, clock 84, memory 88,

Art Unit: 3672

90, and modem 104 adapted to link the memory to a remote base. The reference further discloses that the aid can be used with derricks, and the like (col. 1, lines 5-20). However, the reference does not provide for an air compressor, pneumatic slip, or a hydraulic tong as specifically called for in the claims.

Shaginian et al. teaches an apparatus for handling pipes that includes hydraulic tong 7 coupleable to pump 138 and slips 50 coupleable to drive means 52 for the purpose of holding pipe segments (or rod segments) while the tong rotates the segments for connection to additional segments. It is well known to operate tongs and slips either hydraulically or pneumatically. If either one or both is to be operated pneumatically, an air compressor would be required as a drive means. If either one or both is to be operated hydraulically, a hydraulic pump is required as a drive means.

It would have been considered obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus of Geppert with a tong and a slip coupleable to a selected drive means in view of Shaginian et al. in order to perform standard operations with a derrick such as installing pipes or sucker rods in a well by gripping a pipe segment and applying torque to screw together an additional length of pipe.

10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geppert in view of Shaginian et al. as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Heffernan et al.

Geppert discloses apparatus and method as stated above. However, the reference does not suggest the use of a tachometer providing a signal to the memory.

Art Unit: 3672

Shaginian et al. teaches an apparatus for handling pipes as stated above.

Heffernan et al. teaches a tachometer 94 with a feedback signal to a control system 21 with memory for the purpose of controlling the velocity of a traveling block.

It would have been considered obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus of Geppert as modified by Shaginian et al. with a tachometer in view of Heffernan et al. in order to provide a signal to the memory.

11. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geppert in view of Shaginian et al. as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Selman (cited by applicant).

Geppert discloses apparatus and method as stated above. However, the reference does not suggest the use of a hydrogen sulfide gas detector providing a signal to the memory.

Shaginian et al. teaches an apparatus for handling pipes as stated above.

Selman teaches a hydrogen sulfide gas detector 5 which provides a signal for the purpose of analyzing the gas found in mud produced at the surface of the earth.

It would have been considered obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus of Geppert as modified by Shaginian et al. with a gas detector in view of Heffernan et al. in order to provide a signal to the memory representative of gas concentration.

*Allowable Subject Matter*

Art Unit: 3672

12. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Castille et al. teaches pneumatic slips and methods of connecting pipe segments with tongs.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zakiya Walker whose telephone number is (703) 305-0302. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

*Z.W.*  
ZW

September 24, 1999

*William Neuder*  
**William Neuder**  
**Primary Examiner**